



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/232,866	01/15/1999	RASSOLL RASHIDI	96CAD01	8300	
75	10/29/2003		EXAMI	NER	
TIMOTHY E. NAUMAN			SCHAETZLE, KENNEDY		
FAY, SHARPE MINNICH & M	,		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, 7TH FLOOR			3762 DATE MAILED: 10/29/2003		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-2518					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/232,866	RASHIDI, RASSOLL					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kennedy Schaetzle	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 16 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT ;	place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were r	newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · —		d an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examine	∍r.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u>.</u>	0				
10. Other:	į.	KENNEDY SCHAET	ILE IER				
		PHIN/0-27-03	,				



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner considers the spacer to be not only surrounding the tension/compression wires, but also between said wires. In re the flexibility issue, note Clapp, 227 USPQ 973. Regarding the tension/compression issue, a slack wire is a wire not in tension. Buckling simply refers to an object bent, warped or crumpled under pressure. The fact that the '690 reference refers to the wire as being slack does not mean that it can not be buckled or under compression, but rather that is not under tension.